

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,843	03/30/2001	Samir Kumar Brahmachari	Q63915	7045
7:	590 09/18/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, CAROLYN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
₩.		09/820,843	BRAHMACHARI ET AL.		
Offic	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Carolyn L Smith	1631		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the c ver sh et with the corresp ndence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
_	sive to communication(s) filed on				
<i>'</i>		s action is non-final.			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
_	ification is objected to by the Examiner				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The propo	osed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1631

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

The art unit designated for this application has changed. Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1631.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method for identifying anti-infective proteins, classified in class 702, subclass 20. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- II. Claims 17-19, drawn to unique outlier protein sequences, classified in class 530, subclasses 300 and 350. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required.

Specie Election Requirement for All Groups:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions involving a method for identifying proteins (Group I) or the unique outlier protein sequences (Group II) that pertain to:

Specie A: proteins taken from an organism which is B. burgdorfei

Specie B: proteins taken from an organism which is C. jejuni

Specie C: proteins taken from an organism which is C. pneumoniae

Specie D: proteins taken from an organism which is C. trachomatis

Specie E: proteins taken from an organism which is *H. influenzae*

Specie F: proteins taken from an organism which is H. pylori

Specie G: proteins taken from an organism which is L. major

Art Unit: 1631

Specie H: proteins taken from an organism which is M. genetalium

Specie I: proteins taken from an organism which is M. pneumoniae

Specie J: proteins taken from an organism which is M. tuberculosis

Specie K: proteins taken from an organism which is N. meningitis

Specie L: proteins taken from an organism which is P. aeruginosa

Specie M: proteins taken from an organism which is P. falciparum

Specie N: proteins taken from an organism which is R. prowazekii

Specie O: proteins taken from an organism which is T. pallidum

Specie P: proteins taken from an organism which is V. cholerae

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic to the above species. This distinctness or independence of *B. burgdorfei* versus *C. jejuni* versus *C. pneumoniae* versus *C. trachomatis* versus *H. influenzae* versus *H. pylori* versus *L. major* versus *M. genetalium* versus *M. pneumoniae* versus *M. tuberculosis* versus *N. meningitis* versus *P. aeruginosa* versus *P. falciparum* versus *R. prowazekii* versus *T. pallidum* versus *V. cholerae* is because they are directed to different entity types regarding the critical limitations of different bacterial species. The completely separate entity types of these species are often separately characterized and published in literature, thus adding to the search burden if all species were examined together. Thus, the sixteen species are independent and/or distinct invention types for restriction purposes.

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

(1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides of

Art Unit: 1631

Group II may be utilized in distinct usages as needed in Group I in a method for identifying anti-infective proteins, or alternatively, in cell growth inhibition studies. All of these usages are distinct as requiring distinct and different functions thereof without overlapping search due to different subject matter. This lack of overlapping searches documents the undue search burden if they were searched together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR §1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn Smith, whose telephone number is (703) 308-6043. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst Tina Plunkett whose telephone number is (703) 305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 10, 2002

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6